UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,541	01/26/2005	Paul Robinson	102792-349/10995P1	5688
27389 7590 05/24/2007 NORRIS, MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS 875 THIRD AVE 18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

H						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/509,541	ROBINSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M	arch 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
The path of declaration is objected to by the Ex	diffilier. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/509,541

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 5-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Channer (U.S. Patent No. 7,036,747) in view of Harris, Jr.

Channer teaches all the limitations of the claims except for the base with a section extending rearwardly form the gel-receiving surface. However, Harris, Jr. discloses an air freshening device 10 having a supporting frame 10 that has a base 20 with a section extending rearwardly (as view from the front of figure 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Channer with a section of the baser extending rearwardly form the gel-receiving surface as suggested by Harris, Jr. Doing so would provide a stable supporting base (see column 2, lines 12+).

3. Claims 5-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Leary (U.S. Patent No. 6,631,852) in view of Harris, Jr.

O'Leary teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a base with section extending rearwardly form the gel-receiving surface. However, Harris, Jr. discloses an air freshening device 10 having a supporting frame 10 that has a base 20 with a section extending rearwardly (as view from the front of figure 1). Therefore, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/509,541

Art Unit: 3752

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of O'Leary with a section of the baser extending rearwardly form the gel-receiving surface as suggested by Harris, Jr. Doing so would provide a stable supporting base (see column 2, lines 12+).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/08/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3752

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dinh Q Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752